

### **III. REMARKS**

#### **Specification Rejections**

1. The examiner objected to the use of the trademark Java in the specification. Applicant has amended the specification to replace Java with JAVA.

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**

2. The examiner rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended the claims to address each of the examiner rejections. Support for the amendments in regard to “JAR file structure,” “extracting the JAR file content,” “user specification of a file,” and “field” may be found in the specification in at least paragraphs [0014], [0015], [0017], and [0019].

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 101**

2. The examiner rejected claims 7 and 13-20 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended claims 7 and 13-20 to overcome the examiner’s rejection.

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103**

3. The examiner rejected claims 1 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Narayanaswamy et al. (US 7,069,553, hereinafter “Narayanaswamy”). The examiner rejected the remaining claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Narayanaswamy

alone (claims 2 and 8), or in combination with Chan (claims 3,10, 12-15) or in combination with Chan and Kronenberg. (claims 4-6 and 16-19).

Applicant has amended independent claim 1, 7 and 20 and submits that the amended independent claims distinguish over the prior art for at least the following reasons.

1. None of the cited references, individually or in combination, disclose “recording an archive file structure of the archive file in a structure file in the temporary directory.”
2. None of the cited references, individually or in combination, disclose “decompressing the archive file content from the archive file into an extracted archive file in the temporary directory.”
3. None of the cited references, individually or in combination, disclose “archiving the archive file content according to the archive file structure in the structure file”
4. None of the cited references, individually or in combination, disclose changing the data in the archive while keeping the archive file structure the same before and after the data is changed (“wherein the data within the archive file is changed and wherein the archive file structure remains the same before and after the data is changed”) in accordance with the steps or operations described in 1-3 above.

The dependent claims now depend from allowable independent claims.

### **Conclusion**

4. Applicant submits that the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Rudolf O. Siegesmund". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath.

Rudolf O. Siegesmund  
Registration No. 37,720  
Gordon & Rees LLP  
Suite 2800  
2100 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
214-231-4660  
214-461-4053 (fax)  
[rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com](mailto:rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com)